Worksheet 3 Solutions

1. What will the following print out?

```
typedef struct {
        char shookie;
        int tata;
        char cookie;
        double chimmy;
  } bts;
  typedef union {
        char shookie;
        int tata;
        char cookie;
        double chimmy;
  } btu;
  int main(int argc, char** argv){
        bts band1[7];
        btu band2[7];
        printf("%d\n", (int)sizeof(band1));
        printf("%d\n", (int)sizeof(band2));
        return 0;
  }
• For the struct:
     \circ (1 + (3) + 4 + 1 + (7) + 8) * 7 = 168
     o Due to alignment, we need to add the numbers in parentheses
• For the union
     o 8 * 7 = 56
```

2. What is the best ordering of the following data types if you want to have a struct that uses all of them? What is this optimal size? Assume a 64-bit architecture. The best ordering here means the ordering that will result in the optimal usage of space – there's more than 1 answer!

```
char tully;
long stark;
int greyjoy;
float* lannister;
                   // hint: floats are 4 bytes
float arryn;
double targaryen;
struct Westeros{
     // Note: this is one possible ordering
     // There are many others that work as well!
     float* lannister; // ALL pointers are 8 bytes
     double targaryen; // doubles are 8 bytes
     long stark;
                        // longs are 8 bytes
     float arryn;
                       // floats are 4 bytes
                      // ints are 4 bytes
     int greyjoy;
     char tully;
                        // chars are 1 byte
};
```

One simple strategy (the one used above) is to order the fields from largest size to smallest, as structs are x-aligned, where x is the size of the largest data type in the struct.

3. Consider the following disassembled function:

0000000000	040102b <phase_2>:</phase_2>		
40102b:	55	push	%rbp
40102c:	53	push	%rbx
40102d:	48 83 ec 28	sub	\$0x28,%rsp
401031:	48 89 e6	mov	%rsp,%rsi
401034:	e8 e3 03 00 00	callq	40141c <read_six_numbers></read_six_numbers>
401039:	83 3c 24 01	cmpl	\$0x1,(%rsp)

Right after the callq instruction is executed, what will be at the top of the stack?

401039.

....

- When executing a call instruction, you push the return address onto the stack
 - The instruction pointer (%rip) points to the next instruction to execute
 - In this case, 401039
- When you reach the ret instruction in read_six_numbers, you will pop this address off the stack so control will return to the next instruction in phase 2.

4. Consider the following C code:

```
typedef struct {
     char first;
     int second;
     short third;
     int* fourth;
} stuff;
stuff array[5];
int func0(int index, int pos, long dist) {
     char* ptr = (char*) &(array[index].first);
     ptr += pos;
     *ptr = index + dist;
     return *ptr;
}
int func1() {
     int x = func0(1, 4, 12);
     return x;
}
```

Clearly some code is missing - your job is to fill in the blanks! Note that the size of the blanks is not significant. The two functions will be compiled using the following assembly code:

```
000000000400492 <func0>:
 400492: 8d 04 17
                               lea
                                       (%rdi,%rdx,1),%eax
 400495: 48 63 ff
                               movslq %edi,%rdi
 400498: 48 63 f6
                               movslq %esi,%rsi
 40049b: 48 8d 14 7f
                                      (%rdi,%rdi,2),%rdx
                               lea
 40049f: 88 84 d6 60 10 60 00 mov
                                      %al,0x601060(%rsi,%rdx,8)
 4004a6: Of be c0
                               movsbl %al,%eax
 4004a9: c3
                               retq
0000000004004aa <func1>:
  4004aa: c6 05 cb 0b 20 00 0d movb
                                      $0xd, 0x200bcb(%rip)
                                          # 60107c <array+0x1c>
 4004b1: b8 0d 00 00 00
                                      $0xd,%eax
                               mov
 4004b6: c3
                                retq
```

The answer can be derived by tackling func0 first, then func1 func0

- From instruction 400492, we can see that the return value is set to %rdi + %rdx, where %rdi is index and %rdx is dist
 - %rdi is set to the first parameter, %rsi to the second parameter, %rdx to the third
 - %eax is unchanged, until instruction 4004a6 with %al
 - This makes sense, since we're returning the value from dereferencing a pointer to a char, aka a single byte (%al is a single byte)
 - Thus we know ***ptr = index + dist**
 - From instruction 40049b:
 - %rdx is set to 3 * %rdi
 - %rdx is thus 3 * index
 - From instruction 40049f:
 - 0x601060 is presumably the start of the array
 - This is confirmed in instruction 4004aa, where 60107c is shown to be <array+0x1c>
 - The destination of instruction 40049f is thus:
 - (Start of the array) + 8 * (3 * %rdi) + pos = (start
 of array) + (24 * index) + pos
 - Each object of type stuff is 24 bytes (alignment)
 - o ptr from func0 is thus pointing to array[index].first
 - The "+ pos" comes from the second line of func0

func1

- (note) there is no call to func0, as this code was produced from gcc -0
 - Optimization has not been covered yet, but in the spirit of the problem, we needed the parameters passed to func0 to be hidden but the return value to be known. The nonoptimization generated assembly would have done the opposite.
 - From Week3 Lecture slides "data_examples.pdf", students should understand that 0x200bcb(%rip) from instruction 4004aa is location <array + 0x1c>
 - \circ 0x1c = 28
 - Since each object of type stuff is 24 bytes, we know the second parameter (pos) was called with value 4
 - array[1].first would be at byte 24
 - ptr += 4 would bring us to 28
 - Thus we know **pos** = **28 24** = **4**
- 0xd = 13
 - Thus we know that the **third parameter (dist) was called** with value 12