1. What will the following print out?

```
typedef struct {
     char shookie;
     int tata;
     char cookie;
     double chimmy;
} bts;
typedef union {
     char shookie;
     int tata;
     char cookie;
     double chimmy;
} btu;
int main(int argc, char** argv){
     bts band1[7];
     btu band2[7];
     printf("%d\n", (int)sizeof(band1));
     printf("%d\n", (int)sizeof(band2));
     return 0;
}
For the struct:
  0 (1 + (3) + 4 + 1 + (7) + 8) * 7 = 168
  o Due to alignment, we need to add the numbers in parentheses
For the union
   0.8 * 7 = 56
```

2. What is the best* ordering of the following data types if you want to have a struct that uses all of them? What is this optimal size? Assume a 64-bit architecture. The best ordering here means the ordering that will result in the optimal usage of space – there's more than 1 answer!

```
struct Westeros{
    // Note: this is one possible ordering
    // There are many others that work as well!
    float* lannister; // ALL pointers are 8 bytes
    double targaryen; // doubles are 8 bytes
    long stark; // longs are 8 bytes
    float arryn; // floats are 4 bytes
    int greyjoy; // ints are 4 bytes
    char tully; // chars are 1 byte
};

One simple strategy (the one used above) is to order the fields
from largest size to smallest, as structs are x-aligned, where
x is the size of the largest data type in the struct.
```

3. Consider the following disassembled function:

```
000000000040102b <phase 2>:
 40102b: 55
                              push %rbp
  40102c: 53
                              push %rbx
 40102d: 48 83 ec 28
                              sub
                                    $0x28,%rsp
 401031: 48 89 e6
                              mov %rsp,%rsi
                            callq 40141c
  401034: e8 e3 03 00 00
<read six numbers>
 401039: 83 3c 24 01
                              cmpl $0x1, (%rsp)
000000000040141c <read six numbers>:
void read_six_numbers(char *input, int *numbers);
```

a) What are the inputs to the read_six_numbers function? After the call to read_six_numbers, what value will be at the top of the stack? (Hint: read_six_numbers parses input from the first argument and writes the output to the second argument. Example input: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6).

The first argument is the location where read_six_numbers reads the input and the second argument is a pointer to where the parsed numbers are stored, i.e., %rsp. After read_six_numbers processes the input, the value at the top of the stack is the first number parsed, which is 1.

b) Right after the callq instruction has been executed (i.e., your current execution address is 40141c), what will be at the top of the stack?

401039.

- When executing a call instruction, you push the return address onto the stack
 - The instruction pointer (%rip) points to the next instruction to execute
 - o In this case, 401039
- When you reach the ret instruction in read_six_numbers, you will pop this address off the stack so control will return to the next instruction in phase 2.

4. Consider the following C code:

```
typedef struct {
     char first;
     int second;
     short third;
     int* fourth;
} stuff;
stuff array[5];
int func0(int index, int pos, long dist) {
     char* ptr = (char*) &(array[index].first);
     ptr += pos;
     *ptr = index + dist;
     return *ptr;
}
int func1() {
     int x = func0(1, 4, 12);
     return x;
}
```

Clearly some code is missing - your job is to fill in the blanks! Note that the size of the blanks is not significant. The two functions will be compiled using the following assembly code:

```
0000000000400492 <func0>:
400492: 8d 04 17 lea (%rdi,%rdx,1),%eax
400495: 48 63 ff movslq %edi,%rdi
400498: 48 63 f6 movslq %esi,%rsi
```

```
40049b: 48 8d 14 7f lea (%rdi,%rdi,2),%rdx
```

40049f: 88 84 d6 60 10 60 00 mov

%al, 0x601060 (%rsi, %rdx, 8)

4004a6: Of be c0 movsbl %al, %eax

4004a9: c3 retq

00000000004004aa <func1>:

4004aa: c6 05 cb 0b 20 00 0d movb \$0xd,0x200bcb(%rip)

60107c <array+0x1c>

4004b1: b8 0d 00 00 00 mov \$0xd, %eax

4004b6: c3 retq

The answer can be derived by tackling func0 first, then func1 ${\tt func0}$

- From instruction 400492, we can see that the return value is set to %rdi + %rdx, where %rdi is index and %rdx is dist
 - %rdi is set to the first parameter, %rsi to the second parameter, %rdx to the third
 - %eax is unchanged, until instruction 4004a6 with %al
 - This makes sense, since we're returning the value from dereferencing a pointer to a char, aka a single byte (%al is a single byte)
 - o Thus we know *ptr = index + dist
- From instruction 40049b:
 - o %rdx is set to 3 * %rdi
 - % rdx is thus 3 * index
- From instruction 40049f:
 - o 0x601060 is presumably the start of the array
 - This is confirmed in instruction 4004aa, where 60107c is shown to be <array+0x1c>
 - The destination of instruction 40049f is thus:
 - (Start of the array) +
 8 * (3 * %rdi) +
 pos
 - \blacksquare = (start of array) + (24 * index) + pos
 - Each object of type stuff is 24 bytes (alignment)
 - o ptr from func0 is thus pointing to array[index].first
 - The "+ pos" comes from the second line of func0

func1

 (note) there is no call to func0, as this code was produced from gcc -0

- Optimization has not been covered yet, but in the spirit of the problem, we needed the parameters passed to func0 to be hidden but the return value to be known. The non-optimization generated assembly would have done the opposite.
- o From Week3 Lecture slides "data_examples.pdf", students should understand that 0x200bcb(%rip) from instruction 4004aa is location <array + 0x1c>
- 0 0x1c = 28
- Since each object of type stuff is 24 bytes, we know the second parameter (pos) was called with value 4
 - array[1].first would be at byte 24
 - ptr += 4 would bring us to 28
 - Thus we know pos = 28 24 = 4
- 0xd = 13
 - Thus we know that the third parameter (dist) was called with value 12